CYNGOR CYMUNED BETWS YN RHOS A LLANELIAN YN RHOS COMMUNITY COUNCIL

CLERC : John MacLennan, 19, Lon Garnedd, Abergele. LL22 7EW Ffon : 01745 826901 Sym : 07903328655 e-bost : johnmaclennan9@icloud.com

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON-LINE AT 7.30.pm ON MONDAY 9TH NOVEMBER 2020.

- 1. WELCOME The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting.
- IN ATTENDANCE The Chairman Councillor Irwedd Griffiths and Councillors Hefin Williams; Bryn Jones; Tudur W. Jones; Gwyndaf O. Roberts and County Councillor Ifor G. Lloyd.
- 3. APOLOGIES The Vice-Chair Councillor Eifion Evans and Councillors D.Gwyn Jones; John D. Roberts and Goronwy R. Williams.

4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Members are reminded that they must declare the existence and nature of any personal interests using the form provided for the purpose. Councillor Ifor Lloyd has stated that he sits on the planning committee and as such cannot take part in any decisions made on applications being discussed, but he could answer questions relating to planning regulations and policies.

5. TO CO-OPT APPLICANT FOR THE VACANT SEAT.

It was PROPOSED by Councillor Hefin Williams that John Evans, Dolafon, Dolwen, Abergele, be co-opted as the new member on Betws yn Rhos and Llanelian yn Rhos Community Council. This was SECONDED by Councillor Bryn Jones and was UNANIMOUSLY agreed by all present. The Clerk visited Mr Evans at his home on the 12TH November 2020. Councillor John Evans then signed the acceptance of office and the Code of Conduct, he was given a copy of the Code of Conduct, Standing Orders and Financial Regulations of the Council together with all of the Policies relating to the Council, the Clerk welcomed him as a member of the Community Council.

6. MORE INFORMATION REGARDIN PLANNING APPLICATION 0/47814 DEFERRED FROM THE 2ND NOVEMBER 2020. The erection of a general purpose building on land to the south of Betws yn Rhos by Mr Zitou.

After some discussion members DECIDED TO OBJECT to the application for the reasons set out below:-

- 1. There had been no attempt to mitigate the effect of the building on the landscape.
- 2. The size and scale of the application was not conducive to the area.
- **3**. If approved would it be possible for the shed to be moved to a less conspicuous place.
- 4. Members asked if there was a robust business case for the application.
- 5. The entrance to the site could be dangerous to other road users and is the Highways Department happy with the application.

There being no further business the meeting was clos	sed at 7.50.pm.
CHAIRMAN	DATE